

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,393	JOZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David D. Le	3681	

All Participants:

 (1) David D. Le.

 (2) Michael D. White.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 December 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 14

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' attorney authorizes examiner to amend the instant application as follows:

Claim 1:

Line 21, "for said driving step motor" has been amended as --for driving said step motor--.

Claim 9:

Line 4, "said memorized step position ASTP" has been amended as --said step position ASTP--.

Claim 14:

Line 3, "one or more of" has been deleted;

Line 4, "a step position ASTP" has been amended as --said step position ASTP--.